Approved For Release 2006/07/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R001700170007-1

Executive Registry	
62-2559	
42 m/11 1902	

AGENCY OLICY ON SURFLUE PERSONNEL

ILLEGIB

The surpose of the discussion is to review the Agency program for retiring surplus personnel, with particular reference to the exercise currently in progress in the DBP area, to determine whether any exceptions should be readle in view of recent developments.

The Background: The Agency entered the surplus personnel programonly after the most careful study and coordination. The principal
objective was to eliminate a "hump" in the claudestine services which
was stagnating promotions. The Agency received approval from the
Bureau of the Budget, Civil Service Commission and House Appropriations Committee to give severance pay to those personnel abose
specialities were peculiar to the Agency. The Agency prepared
retention lists ranking personnel in comparable categories in order
of value, using panels of senior efficers to perform this chore.
Those declared surplus are at the bottom of these retention lists.

The issues: There are NO questions about:

- 1. The need for the Agency, particularly the clandestine services. to get rid of a hump in certain grades.
- I. The fact that the procedures for solution of the surplus personnel were about as just and equitable as could humanly be devised.
- 3. The fact that the majority of those declared surplus should leave the Agency if there are no jobs which they can competently perform at their present or lower grades.

There ARE exections about:

- 1. Shether exceptions shouldn't be made on an individual basis in those cases where:
 - (a) an individual was recruited from a good job in industry, persuaded to stay on as a careerist, and is now, in his mic-fifties, being released:

MORI/CDF

- (b) an individual who transferred to the DDF from the DDI, who has exceptional language ability, and after 10 years, is now surplus:
- (c) individuals in their fifties who will have difficulties finding employment, and who have little or no annuities, who could possibly be used on a contract basis;
- (d) individuals who could possibly be used in the expansion of the Division; (are we not in an ambivalent position declaring personnel surplus while simultaneously recruiting)
- (a) individuals who could be used in the expanded, PM, counterinsurgency efforts.

Commentary: Having said all of the above I would add the following comments.

- I. It is unfortunate that some personnel who should have been "selected out" were put in the surplus personnel category—it serves to discredit the others. And the fact that the same officer in personnel who handles the "selection out" cases also handles these, has not helped.
- a. It is unfortunate that a number of detailers—clandestine service personnel detailed to other offices—were on the list: this will create problems in the future.
- 3. It is also true that quite a few of these people should have been less go over the years as the quality of their performance decreased.

///
WE AN B. EIFE PATRICK, JR.
Ensetive Director